"City"), Richard Derrick, Bristol Ellington, Nicholas Vaskov and Kristina Escamilla Gilmore (the "Individual City Defendants" and collectively with the City, the "City of Henderson Defendants"),

26

27

28

- by and through counsel of record, Patrick G. Byrne, Esq. of the law firm of SNELL & WILMER LLP, hereby stipulate to extend the deadline for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants Nicholas Vaskov and Kristina Escamilla Gilmore's Special Motion To Dismiss the First Amended Complaint Pursuant to NRS 41.660 (filed January 3, 2022) [ECF 104]; Defendants Kevin Abernathy, Richard McCann and Kenneth Kerby's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (filed January 14, 2022) [ECF 109]; and to Defendants City of Henderson, Richard Derrick, Bristol Ellington, Nicholas Vaskov, and Kristina Escamilla Gilmore's Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint (filed January 14, 2022) [ECF 110].
 - 1. Defendants have filed three (3) motions which seek dismissal of all claims against them under a variety of arguments relying on various legal theories.
 - 2. Plaintiff's Response to Vaskov's and Gilmore's Special Motion to Dismiss [ECF 104) is due on January 18, 2022. The parties have agreed to extend that deadline by 3 weeks, until Tuesday, February 8, 2022. The parties have agreed to a similar extension for the reply, which will be due on or before Tuesday, March 8, 2022.
 - 3. Plaintiff's Response to the City Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [ECF 110] is due January 28, 2022. The parties have agreed to extend that deadline by 3 weeks, until February 18, 2022. The parties have agreed to a similar extension for the reply, which will be due on or before Friday, March 18, 2022.
 - 4. Plaintiff's Response to Abernathy, McCann, and Kerby's Motion to Dismiss [ECF 109] is January 28, 2022. The parties have agreed to extend that deadline by 3 weeks, until February 18, 2022. The parties have agreed to a similar extension for the reply, which will be due on or before Friday, March 18, 2022.
 - 5. As each of the Defendants have differed positions and/or relationships within the City and are alleged to have engaged in separate conduct related to Plaintiff's claims, Plaintiff needs additional time to analyze the reasoning set forth in the motions and prepare responses addressing the arguments raised by Defendants.
 - 6. Defendants anticipate that they will need a similar extension of time to prepare replies to Plaintiff's responses.

1	7. The extensions are sought in go	ood faith and not for the purpose of delay.
2		
3	DATED this 19 th day of January, 2022.	DATED this 19 th day of January, 2022.
4	COOK & KELESIS, LTD.	CLARK HILL PLLC
5	By: Marc Cook /s	Nicholas Wieczorek /s
6	MARC P. COOK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 004574	By: Nicholas Wieczorek /s NICHOLAS M. WIECZOREK, ESQ.
7	JULIE L. SANPEI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005479	Nevada Bar No. 006170 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
8	517 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorney for Defendants Kevin Abernathy,
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Latesha Watson	Richard McCann and Kenneth Kerby
10		
11	DATED this 19 th day of January, 2022.	
12	By: Richard Gordon /s PATRICK G. BYRNE, ESQ.	
13	Nevada Bar No. 007636 RICHARD C. GORDON, ESQ.	
14	Nevada Bar No. 009036 PAUL SWENSON PRIOR, ESQ.	
15	Nevada Bar No. 009324 CHRISTIAN P. OGATA, ESQ.	
16	Nevada Bar No. 15612 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100	
17	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Defendants City of Henderson, Richard	
18	Derrick, Bristol Ellington, Nicholas Vaskov and Kristina Escamilla Gilmore	
19	una Kristina Escamita Gumore	
20		
21	ORDER	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24		I DIVISIO CELETES DISTRICE HIDGE
25		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		Dated: January 28, 2022
27		
28		